**CLAIM AMENDMENTS** 

Claim Amendment Summary

Claims pending

Before this Amendment: Claims 1-31.

• After this Amendment: Claims 1-31

Non-Elected, Canceled, or Withdrawn claims: None

Amended claims: 1, 3, 4, 10, 13, 14, 19

New claims: None

Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method for generating an installation file for a

particular version of a relational database comprising:

automatically determining a first set of data definition language (DDL) scripts

associated with implementing the particular version of the relational database, wherein

the scripts are selected from a plurality of scripts, one or more of the plurality of scripts

being not associated with implementing the particular version;

automatically determining a second set of data manipulation language scripts

associated with implementing the particular version of the relational database, wherein

the scripts are selected from a plurality of scripts, one or more of the plurality of scripts

being not associated with implementing the particular version; and

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

P<sup>TM</sup>
Www.leehiyes.com 508 324,9256

generating an installation file comprising a set theory union of the first set and the

second set.

2. (Original)The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the particular version is

associated with a first version in a sequence of one or more versions of the relational

database.

3. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the

automatically determining a first set comprises extracting a filename from metadata

associated with the particular version first version, the filename associated with a file

comprising a data definition language script.

4. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the

automatically determining a second set comprises extracting a filename from metadata

associated with the particular version first version, the filename associated with a file

comprising a data manipulation language script.

5. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the

generating an installation file comprises copying a data definition language script from a

script file associated with the first set into the installation file.

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee@hayes The Business of IP\*\*

www.leehayes.com 509.324,9256

6. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the

generating an installation file comprises copying a data manipulation language script

from a script file associated with the second set into the installation file.

7. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 6 wherein the copying

further comprises prepending a create command to the data manipulation language script

in the installation file.

8. (Original)The method as recited in claim 1 wherein metadata exists that

describes a sequence of multiple versions of the relational database where each version is

an upgrade from a previous version, and the particular version is not a first version in the

sequence.

9. (Original) The method as recited in claim 8 wherein the metadata comprises an

XML file.

10. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 8 wherein the

automatically determining a first set comprises:

extracting a set A1 comprising one or more filenames from metadata associated

with a first version in the sequence, the one or more filenames associated with a file

comprising a data definition language script associated with the first version;

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

hayes The Business of IP TO Supplies the Supplies Suppl

iteratively extracting a set Ai comprising zero or more filenames from metadata

associated with an ith version of the relational database, the zero or more filenames each

associated with a file comprising a data definition language script to be executed when

upgrading from version i-1 of the relational database to version i of the relational

database, where i varies incrementally from 2 to j, where the particular version is j; and

determining the first set as the union by set theory of sets A1, A2, ..., Aj.

11. (Original) The method as recited in claim 8 wherein the automatically

determining a second set comprises:

extracting a set A1 comprising one or more filenames from metadata associated

with a first version in the sequence, the one or more filenames associated with a file

comprising a data manipulation language (DML) script associated with the first version;

iteratively extracting a set Ai comprising zero or more filenames from metadata

associated with an ith version of the relational database, the zero or more filenames each

associated with a file comprising a DML script to be executed to add or modify a DML

object when upgrading from version i-1 of the relational database to version i of the

relational database, where i varies incrementally from 2 to j, where the particular version

is j;

iteratively extracting a set Bi comprising zero or more filenames from metadata

associated with an ith version of the relational database, the zero or more filenames each

associated with a file comprising a DML drop script to be executed to drop a DML object

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: M\$1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee hayes The Business of IP \*\*

Ö

when upgrading from version i-1 of the relational database to version i of the relational database, where i varies incrementally from 2 to j, where the particular version is j; and

determining the second set Cj by determining:

$$C2 = [A1 \ A2] - B2,$$

$$C3 = [C2 \ A3] - B3,$$

$$C4 = [C3 \ A4] - B4,$$

. . .

$$Cj = [Cj-1 \ Aj] - Bj.$$

12. (Previously Presented) One or more computer-readable media having computer-readable instructions recorded thereon which, when executed by a computer, cause the computer to implement the method as recited in claim 1.

13. (Currently Amended)A method for generating an upgrade file to upgrade version i of a relational database to version j of the relational database, where j > i, the method comprising:

determining a set A of data definition language (DDL) scripts that, when executed, perform creates, alters, and drops of DDL objects associated with version i of the relational database, resulting in DDL objects associated with version j of the relational database;

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION



determining a set B of data manipulation language (DML) scripts that, when

executed, create DML objects that are associated with version j of the relational database,

but that are not associated with version i of the relational database:

determining a set C of DML scripts that, when executed, modify DML objects that

are associated with both version i and version j of the relational database, but that differ

between version i and version j of the relational database;

determining a set D of DML drop scripts that, when executed, drop DML objects

that are associated with version i of the relational database, but that are not associated

with version j of the relational database; and

generating an upgrade file comprising a union by set theory of sets A, B, C, and D

(A B C D).

14. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 13 wherein the

determining a set A comprises:

iteratively extracting sets Mk, each comprising zero or more filenames from

metadata associated with a kth version of the relational database, where  $i < k \le j$ , the

zero or more filenames each associated with a file comprising a data definition language

script to be executed when upgrading from version k-1 of the relational database to

version k of the relational database; and

determining the set A as the union by set theory of sets Mi+1, Mi+2. ..., Mj (A =

Mi+1 Mi+2 ... Mj).

Serial No.: 10/796,613
Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US
Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee&hayes The Business of IP 10

**15.** (Original) The method as recited in claim 13 wherein the determining a

set B comprises:

determining a set E of DML scripts that when executed:

perform alters of DML objects associated with version i and version j of the

relational database, but that differ between version i and version i of the relational

database; and

perform creates of DML objects that are associated with version j of the relational

database but that are not associated with version i of the relational database; and

determining set B as the difference between sets E and C (B = E - C).

**16.** (Original) The method as recited in claim 15 wherein the determining a

set E comprises:

iteratively determining a set Px of DML scripts that when executed will upgrade

DML objects from version x-1 of the relational database to version x of the relational

database, where x varies incrementally from i+1 to j;

iteratively determining a set Nx of DML scripts that when executed will drop

DML objects that are associated with version x-1 of the relational database but that are

not associated with version x of the relational database, where x varies incrementally

from i+2 to j;

iteratively determining a set Mx of DML scripts that when executed will upgrade

DML objects from version i of the relational database to version x of the relational

database, where x varies incrementally from i+1 to j, and where:

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee&hayes The Business of IP 1M www.leehayes.com 509 324 9256

$$Mi+1 = Pi+1$$

$$Mi+2 = [Mi+1 Pi+2] - Ni+2$$

$$Mi+3 = [Mi+2 Pi+3] - Ni+3$$

. . .

$$Mj=[Mj-1 Pj]-Nj$$
; and

determining set E = Mj.

17. (Original) The method as recited in claim 13 wherein the determining a set C comprises:

determining a set E of DML scripts that when executed:

perform alters of DML objects associated with version i and version j of the relational database, but that differ between version i and version j of the relational database; and

perform creates of DML objects that are associated with version j of the relational database but that are not associated with version i of the relational database;

determining a set Fj of DML scripts that when executed, create DML objects associated with version j of the relational database; and

determining set C as the intersection of set E and set Fj (C = E  $\cap$  Fj).

**18.** (Original) The method as recited in claim 17 wherein the determining a set Fj comprises:

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION



extracting a set M1 comprising one or more filenames from metadata associated

with a first version in the sequence, the one or more filenames associated with a file

comprising a data manipulation language (DML) script associated with the first version:

iteratively extracting a set Mx comprising zero or more filenames from metadata

associated with version x of the relational database, the zero or more filenames each

associated with a file comprising a DML script to be executed to add or modify a DML

object when upgrading from version x-1 of the relational database to version x of the

relational database, where x varies incrementally from 2 to j;

iteratively extracting a set Bx comprising zero or more filenames from metadata

associated with version x of the relational database, the zero or more filenames each

associated with a file comprising a DML drop script to be executed to drop a DML object

when upgrading from version x-1 of the relational database to version x of the relational

database, where x varies incrementally from 2 to j; and

determining the set Fj by determining:

 $F2 = [M1 \ M2] - B2,$ 

F3 = [F2 M3] - B3,

F4 = [F3 M4] - B4,

. . .

Fj = [Fj-1 Mj] - Bj.

19. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 13 wherein the

determining a set D comprises:

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MSI-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION



determining a set E of DML scripts that when executed:

perform alters of DML objects associated with version i and version j of the

relational database, but that differ between version i and version j of the relational

database; and

perform creates of DML objects that are associated with version j of the relational

database but that are not associated with version i of the relational database;

iteratively determining a set Fx of DML scripts that when executed, drop DML

objects associated with version x-1 of the relational database that are not associated with

version x of the relational database, where x varies incrementally from i+1 to j;

determining a set G as the union by set theory of sets Fi, Fi+1, Fi+2, ..., Fj (G = Fi

Fi+1 ... Fi+2); and

determining set D as the difference between set G and set E (D = G - E).

**20.** (**Previously Presented**) A system comprising:

a processor;

a memory;

one or more data definition language (DDL) scripts, each associated with one or

more versions of a relational database;

one or more data manipulation language (DML) scripts, each associated with one

or more versions of the relational database;

a database schema version management structure definition;

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee&hayes The Business of IP 14

schema data associated with multiple versions of the relational database, the schema data organized according to the database schema version management structure definition; and

an installation file generator stored in the memory and executed on the processor to apply laws of set theory to the schema data to generate a file comprising the one or more DDL scripts associated with a particular one of the multiple versions of the relational database, and the one or more DML scripts associated with the particular one of the multiple versions of the relational database.

**21.** (Original) The system as recited in claim 20 wherein the database schema version management structure definition comprises an XML schema definition.

**22.** (**Original**) The system as recited in claim 21 wherein the schema data is maintained in an XML file structured according to the XML schema definition.

**23.** (**Previously Presented**) A system comprising:

a memory;

a processor; and

a database schema version management system stored in the memory, and executed on the processor to:

manage schema data associated with multiple versions of a relational database;

and

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION



generate an installation file associated with any one of the multiple versions of the relational database.

**24.** (Original) The system as recited in claim 23 wherein the schema data

identifies a script associated with a data definition language object of the relational

database.

25. (Original) The system as recited in claim 23 wherein the schema data

identifies a script associated with a data manipulation language object of the relational

database.

**26.** (Original) The system as recited in claim 23 wherein the database

schema version management system is further configured to generate an installation file

associated with an initial version of the relational database.

**27.** (Original) The system as recited in claim 23 wherein the database

schema version management system is further configured to generate an installation file

associated with a non-initial version of the relational database.

**28.** (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 23 wherein the

database schema version management system is further configured to generate an

16

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee@hayes ton 509 324,9256

upgrade file for upgrading one version of the relational database to another version of the

relational database.

29. (Previously Presented) One or more computer-readable media

comprising computer-readable instructions recorded thereon, which, when executed,

cause a computer system to:

maintain schema data that identifies scripts associated with database objects of

multiple sequential versions of a relational database; and

generate an installation file associated with an initial version of the relational

database by applying laws of set theory to the schema data to identify scripts associated

with the database objects of the initial version of the relational database.

**30.** (Previously Presented) The one or more computer-readable media as

recited in claim 29, further comprising computer-readable instructions recorded thereon.

which, when executed, cause a computer system to:

generate an installation file associated with a non-initial version of the relational

database by applying laws of set theory to the schema data to identify:

scripts associated with data definition language (DDL) objects that are associated

with the non-initial version of the relational database; and

scripts associated with data manipulation language (DML) objects that are

associated with the non-initial version of the relational database.

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee&hayes The Business of IP\*\*

www.kehayes.com 509.324.9256

31. (Previously Presented) The one or more computer-readable media as

recited in claim 29, further comprising computer-readable instructions recorded thereon,

which, when executed, cause a computer system to:

generate an upgrade file associated with an upgrade from a first, but not

necessarily initial, version of the relational database to a second, later, but not necessarily

immediately sequential, version of the relational database by applying laws of set theory

to the schema data to identify:

data definition language (DDL) scripts associated with DDL objects of the

relational database that have been created or modified between the first and second

versions of the relational database;

data manipulation language (DML) scripts associated with DML objects of the

relational database that have been created between the first and second versions of the

relational database:

DML scripts associated with DML objects of the relational database that have

been modified between the first and second versions of the relational database; and

drop scripts associated with database objects that have been dropped and not re-

created between the first and second versions of the relational database.

Serial No.: 10/796,613 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1880US Atty/Agent: Clay D. Hagler

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee hayes The Business of IP Wave Inches com 509 324 9256